

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/897,988	07/05/2001		Yuta Nakai	210669US0	1677
38108	7590	06/17/2005		EXAMINER	
CERMAK (& KENE	EALY LLP	MARVICH, MARIA		
ACS LLC 515 EAST B	RADDO	CK ROAD	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
SUITE B			1636		
ALEXANDI	RIA, VA	22314	DATE MAILED: 06/17/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/897,988	NAKAI ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Maria B. Marvich, PhD	1636				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 Ma	ay 2004.					
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ This	action is non-final.					
•	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-8, 10 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-7 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) 8 and 10 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date		atent Application (PTO-152)				

DETAILED ACTION

This action is in response to an amendment filed 8/11/03 and a supplemental amendment filed 5/17/04. The supplemental amendment was filed to provide portions of the amendment inadvertently omitted from the amendment filed 8/11/03. Claim 1 has been amended. Claim 9 has been cancelled. Claim 10 has been added. Claims 1-8 and 10 are pending in this application.

Response to Amendment

Any rejection of record in the previous action not addressed in this office action is withdrawn. The new grounds of rejection herein were necessitated by amendment and, therefore, this action is final.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Claims 1-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. This rejection is maintained for reasons of record in the office action in the office action mailed 4/9/03 and 8/9/04.

Applicants claim a genus of microorganisms constructed from a parent strain that has a respiratory pathway of high energy efficiency and a respiratory pathway of low energy efficiency and that is a mutant or genetic recombinant having either or both an enhanced respiratory chain

Art Unit: 1636

pathway of high energy efficiency and a deficient respiratory chain pathway of low energy efficiency.

The written description requirement for genus claims may be satisfied through sufficient description of a representative number of species by actual reduction to practice, reduction to drawings, or by disclosure of relevant identifying characteristics, i.e. structure or other physical and/or chemical properties, by functional characteristics coupled with known or disclosed correlations between function and structure, or by a combination of such characteristics sufficient to show that the applicant was in possession of the claimed genus.

The instant invention recites as an essential element for the production of target substances, improved microorganisms obtained from parent strains that are engineered to contain altered high and low energy efficiency genes of the respiratory chain pathway. The structure of a species is disclosed as the specification discloses that the E. coli strains W3110 and VKPM B-3996 are parental strains for the construction of the overproducing cyo strains and deficient NDHII strains. As well, applicants disclose that Corneyform bacteria comprise high and low energy efficiency genes, bel complex and cytochrome bd. The specification does not disclose relevant identifying characteristics of the two bacteria or nature of the mutation or genetic engineering sufficient to describe the steps to attain the mutant strains with the derived high energy or low energy efficiencies in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms that a skilled artisan would recognize applicant was in possession of the claimed invention. Nor does the specification disclose a correlation between the mutations or genetic changes in the exemplified cell, E. coli, and those required in other organisms to produce the necessary changes in the respiratory pathway for use in the claimed invention. For inventions in an unpredictable art,

Art Unit: 1636

adequate written description of a genus cannot usually be achieved by disclosing only one species within the genus. Furthermore, the specification and the prior art has not established a strong correlation between the structure of mutated or genetically altered enzymes and their function in altering respiratory pathways in the organism and one skilled in the art cannot predict with a reasonable degree of confidence the structure of the claimed invention from the recitation of its function. Therefore, the skilled artisan cannot conclude that the applicant was in possession of the claimed invention.

Response to Argument

Applicants traverse the claim rejections under 35 U.S.C. 112. first paragraph for lack of written description on page 6 of the amendment filed 11/23/04. Applicants argue that the claims have been amended to specify the specific enzymes of either the high energy or low energy respiratory pathway and have thus overcome the rejection.

Applicants' arguments filed 11/23/04 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The rejection of the instant claims under 112, first paragraph for lack of written description has not been overcome by the amendment to the claims. The rejection of the claims for lack of written description still stands as applicants have not described with such detail that a person of skill in the art would recognize that applicants were in possession of the invention. The instant claims are drawn to any microorganism obtained from parent strains that are engineered to contain altered high and low energy efficiency genes of the respiratory chain pathway. Applicants have only reduced to practice and demonstrated possession of a single species, a mutant strain of *E. coli* in which the strain has been manipulated to over express *cyo*

Art Unit: 1636

and is deleted of NDH-II. Applicants have also indicated the Corneyform bacteria comprise genes of high and low respiratory pathways that are related to those of Escherichia. Applicants have not demonstrated in such detail generation of the mutant strain or the mutated enzymes such that one of skill in the art would recognize the broad genus of any microorganism obtained from parent strains that are engineered to contain altered high and low energy efficiency genes of the respiratory chain pathway.

Conclusion

Claims 1-7 are rejected.

Claims 8 and 10 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

Application/Control Number: 09/897,988.

Art Unit: 1636

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this

final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Maria B. Marvich, PhD whose telephone number is (571)-272-

0774. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (6:30-3:00).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Remy Yucel, PhD can be reached on (571)-272-0781. The fax phone numbers for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9306 for regular

communications and (703) 872-9306 for After Final communications. Any inquiry of a general

nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the

receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

Maria B Marvich, PhD

Examiner

Art Unit 1636

June 8, 2005

JAMES KETTER

Page 6